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| Exercise | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | Sum |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total points | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 9 |
| Points reached |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mark the following statements as true ( $\mathbf{T}$ ) or false $(\mathbf{F})$ with respect to the given ERdiagram.


1. A person can be a magician and a muggle.
2. A muggle must be enchanted by at least one magician.
3. A magician can be friends with a muggle.
4. A muggle may have a magic wand.
5. Every person can have a pet.

Draw an ER-diagram which satisfies the following requirements:

1. Each property has a unique plot number.
2. A property can have furniture associated with it. Each piece of furniture has to belong to a property.
3. The furniture id combined with the property's plot number is unique.
4. A person has a unique social security number (SSN) and a name.
5. A property can be owned by more than one person. A person can own several properties.
6. A property can be a house or an appartment.

Translate the following ER-diagram into a relational schema and state all foreign key constraints using projection and subset operations.


Relational Schema (0.5 Punkte)

Foreign Key Constraints (0.5 Punkte)

## Schema for the following exercises

## Relational schema

Character(name, species, hometown)
Game(title, release_year, developer, platform)
AppearsIn(character_name, game_title, role)
Genre(game_title, type)

## Foreign key constraints

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{\text {character_name }}(\text { AppearsIn }) \subseteq \pi_{\text {name }}(\text { Character }) \\
& \pi_{\text {game_title }}(\text { AppearsIn }) \subseteq \pi_{\text {title }}(\text { Game }) \\
& \pi_{\text {game_title }}(\text { Genre }) \subseteq \pi_{\text {title }}(\text { Game })
\end{aligned}
$$

## Instance for the following exercises

(C)haracter

| name | species | hometown |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bowser | koopa | Koopa Kingdom |
| Donkey Kong | ape | Kongo Bongo Island |
| Link | human | Hyrule |
| Funky Kong | ape | Kongo Bongo Island |
| Mario | human | Mushroom Kingdom |
| Princess Zelda | human | Hyrule |
| Samus | hybrid | Earth Colony K-2L |
| Wario | human | Mushroom Kingdom |

(Ge)nre

| game_title | type |
| :--- | :--- |
| Donkey Kong | platform |
| Metroid Dread | action |
| Metroid Dread | platform |
| Super Mario Odyssey | adventure |
| Super Mario Odyssey | platform |
| Super Smash Bros | fighting |
| TLoZ: Ocarina of Time | adventure |
| TLoZ: Ocarina of Time | action |

(Ga)me

| title | release_year | developer | platform | sales |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Donkey Kong | 1981 | Nintendo | Arcade | 152,000 |
| Metroid Dread | 2021 | Mercury Steam | Switch | $2,900,000$ |
| Super Mario Odyssey | 2017 | Nintendo | Switch | $25,760,000$ |
| Super Smash Bros | 1999 | HAL | N64 | $5,550,000$ |
| TLoZ: Ocarina of Time | 1998 | Nintendo | N64 | $7,400,000$ |

(A)ppearsIn

| character_name | game_title | role |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bowser | Super Smash Bros | other |
| Bowser | Super Mario Odyssey | antagonist |
| Donkey Kong | Donkey Kong | antagonist |
| Donkey Kong | Super Smash Bros | other |
| Link | Super Smash Bros | other |
| Link | TLoZ: Ocarina of Time | protagonist |
| Mario | Super Smash Bros | other |
| Mario | Super Mario Odyssey | protagonist |
| Princess Zelda | TLoZ: Ocarina of Time | other |
| Samus | Super Smash Bros | other |
| Samus | Metroid Dread | protagonist |

## Exercise 4

Given the following query in relational algebra:
$\pi_{\text {game_title }}\left(\sigma_{\text {hometown='Koopa Kingdom }},(\right.$ Character $) \bowtie_{\text {character_name=name }}($ AppearsIn $\left.)\right)$

1. Describe the result of the query in natural language (in 1-2 sentences). (0.2P)
$\square$
2. Provide the output of the result with respect to the example instance. (0.4P)
$\square$
3. Provide a query in extended relational algebra that computes the following: All characters which do not appear in any of the games. (0.4P)

Formulate the following queries using SQL. The data of the instance on page 5 is exemplary, hence, always provide solutions that are generally valid.

1. All protagonists (characters with role "protagonist") without duplicates that do not appear in games developed by Nintendo. (0.4P)
2. The year of each character, in which the character appeared the last time in a game. Characters not occurring in any game can be ignored. (0.6P)
$\square$

Formulate the following query using SQL. The data of the instance on page 5 is exemplary, hence, always provide solutions that are generally valid.

For each character, the total number of sold games in which the character occurs. Characters not appearing in any game should be considered as well. Also, only characters where the total number of sold games does not exceed 10,000,000 should be in the result. (1P)

Consider relation $R[A, B, C, D, E, F, G]$ with the following functional dependencies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F=\{B C & \rightarrow E, \\
D E & \rightarrow G, \\
F G & \rightarrow A, \\
A & \rightarrow E, \\
G & \rightarrow D, \\
F & \rightarrow C\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Find and list all candidate keys of $R$. Explain your answer.

Use the Armstrong axioms to show the soundness of the union rule:

$$
X \rightarrow Y, X \rightarrow Z \vDash X \rightarrow Y Z
$$

Hint: The Armstrong axioms are given by:

- Reflexivity: $Y \subseteq X \vDash X \rightarrow Y$
- Augmentation: $X \rightarrow Y \vDash X Z \rightarrow Y Z$
- Transitivity: $X \rightarrow Y, Y \rightarrow Z \vDash X \rightarrow Z$

Consider relation $R[A, B, C, D, E, F]$ (already in first normal form - 1 NF ) with the following functional dependencies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
F=\{E & \rightarrow C, \\
B C & \rightarrow E, \\
A C D E & \rightarrow B, \\
E & \rightarrow C D, \\
A & \rightarrow F\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Is relation $R$ in 2NF? Explain your answer.

Which functional dependencies violate either 3NF or BCNF?

|  | Violates 3NF | Violates BCNF |
| ---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E \rightarrow C$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $B C \rightarrow E$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $A C D E \rightarrow B$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $E \rightarrow C D$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |
| $A \rightarrow F$ | $\square$ | $\square$ |

