
Exercise 11 Point

Let S_1 with transactions $T_1, \dots, T_k$ and S_2 with transactions $T_{k+1}, \dots, T_n$ be two arbitrary conflict-serializable schedules. **Sketch** why the schedule $S_1 \circ S_2$, resulting from the concatenation (all of S_1 is executed before S_2) of S_1 and S_2 , is also **conflict-serializable**.

Exercise 21 Point

Consider the following schedule and a two-phase locking scheduler *with* lock conversions. Insert *lock*, *unlock*, and *upgrade* instructions such that all read and write operations in the schedule can be performed in this order.

T1:

T2:

T3:

T4:

read(B)

read(A)

read(A)

read(A)

write(B)

read(C)

read(C)

write(A)

write(B)

Exercise 3

1 Point

Consider the following **multiversion two-phase locking** schedule. Assume that the starting value of **ts-counter** and the initial versions of data items A and B are 0. T_i and T_j are read-only transactions and start with their first read operation.

For each row in the schedule, indicate any

- changes to locks,
- waiting transactions,
- newly created data items,
- changes to versions of data items,
- and the data item version for reads.

Also, provide

- the timestamps of the read-only transactions
- and the final value of **ts-counter**.

Ti:	Tj:	Tk:	Tl:
			write(A)
			read(A)
			read(A)
			read(B)
			commit
			write(B)
			read(A)
			commit
			read(B)

Exercise 41 Point

Consider the **snapshot isolation** concurrency control scheme and the following transactions.

T1: T2:

read(A)

read(B)

read(B)

read(A)

write(B)

write(A)

COMMIT

COMMIT

- (a) Can T1 and T2 both commit? Explain why.
- (b) What would the use of `select ... for update` for all read operations change in this scenario?

Exercise 5

1 Point

Consider the following schedule and a **validation** based scheduler.

T1:	T2:	T3:	T4:
start			
	start		
	validate		
	finish		
		start	
validate			
finish			
			start
		validate	
		finish	
			validate
			finish

The objects in the database that can be read or written are: A, B, C, D, E, F. The read and write sets of the transactions are:

T1: R-set(T1)={A,B}, W-set(T1)={C,D}
 T2: R-set(T2)={E}, W-set(T2)={A,F}
 T3: R-set(T3)={E,F}, W-set(T3)={B,C}

Answer the following questions:

- (1) Does T3 successfully validate? Explain which conditions are satisfied or violated.
- (2) Suppose that the validation of T4 and all previous validations succeeded. What could have been the largest read set of T4?

Exercise 6

1 Point

With the following starting values:

A=10, B=20, C=30, D=40, E=50, F=60

write the log file (physical logging) for the following schedule including the log records generated during recovery.

T1:	T2:	T3:
start		
read(A)		
A:=A-5		
write(A)		
	start	
	read(C)	
read(B)		
B:=B-5		
write(B)		
read(D)		
	C:=C+15	
	write(C)	
	COMMIT	
-----CHECKPOINT-----		
		start
		read(E)
D:=D-10		
write(D)		
		E:=E-15
		write(E)
		read(F)
		F:=F-15
		write(F)
-----CRASH-----		

Exercise 71 Point

Consider a new locking protocol $2PL^+$ that extends two-phase locking (2PL) and permits *downgrading* an exclusive lock to a shared lock in all phases of the protocol. In $2PL^+$, a transaction that has an exclusive lock on an item X can use the operation **downgrade**(X) to downgrade its exclusive lock on X to a shared lock on X .

Prove or disprove the following statement: Protocol $2PL^+$ ensures *conflict-serializable* schedules.

Exercise 8

1 Point

The following schedule is **conflict serializable**. Assign timestamps to the transactions T_i, T_j, T_k, T_ℓ such that the schedule is also valid under the **timestamp-ordering (TSO)** protocol. Show the validity of your assignment.

T_i:	T_j:	T_k:	T_l:
			read(B)
		read(A)	
		write(A)	
	read(A)		
			write(B)
read(B)			
		read(C)	
		write(C)	
read(C)			
write(A)			